

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/623611	Applicant(s) Coia et al	
	Examiner Roark	Art Unit 1644	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas J Kowalski

(3) Jessica Roark

(2) Marilyn Sleigh

(4) Phillip Gambel

Date of Interview: 11/13/64

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: proposed

Identification of prior art discussed: of record

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see below

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Discussed the 112 + art rejections of record and possible approaches for overcoming rejections. Applicant will address in response to office action. Examiner indicated she will consider grouping CTLAY, CO28, & FLOS.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Jessica Roark
Examiner's signature, if required